



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/800,268	03/12/2004	Jack M. Bayt	G&C 30566.297-US-U1	9111

55895 7590 04/20/2006

GATES & COOPER LLP  
HOWARD HUGHES CENTER  
6701 CENTER DRIVE WEST, SUITE 1050  
LOS ANGELES, CA 90045

EXAMINER

GOLDEN, JAMES R

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2187

DATE MAILED: 04/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/800,268	BAYT, JACK M.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James Golden	2187	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 March 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5, 9-13 and 16-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5, 9-13 and 16-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 6-8, 14-15 and 21-23 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

The instant application 10/800628 has a total of 15 claims pending. Applicant has made an election without traverse of claims 1-5, 9-13 and 16-20. There are 3 independent claims and 12 dependent claims pending in the application.

#### ***Election/Restrictions***

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-5, 9-13 and 16-20 in the telephone interview conducted on April 14, 2006 is acknowledged.

#### ***Priority***

2. Priority is granted to filing date March 19, 2003 of provisional application 60/455899.

#### ***Claim Objections***

3. **Claims 18-19** are objected to because of the following informalities: claim 18 is dependent upon itself; claim 19 is objected to because of its dependence on claim 18. These objections can be overcome by making claim 18 dependent on a previous claim. In the rejections below, the examiner has assumed that the applicant will correct claim 18 to be dependent upon claim 17.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. **Claims 1-3, 9-11 and 16-18** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Peckham (US 2004/0068503).

6. **With respect to claims 1 and 16**, Peckham discloses a method for managing memory and an article of manufacture comprising a program storage medium readable by a computer and embodying one or more instructions executable by the computer to perform a method for managing memory, the method comprising:

- breaking up a file into two or more memory blocks [0004, lines 1-2, each memory block is a 256-MB region; 0047, lines 1-4; AIX operating system used to manage blocks];
- managing the two or more memory blocks as nodes in a heap tree (Figs. 4A-4D) [0004, lines 2-8; 0031-0033; 0041-0042; the 256-MB regions are nodes pointed to by regional control blocks] wherein each node has a heap block reference ("Pointer to Data Structures", 310 of Figs. 3A and 3B) [0004, lines 2-8; 0029, lines 5-9; 0038, lines 2-5];

Art Unit: 2187

- receiving a request to access memory at a linear file address [0028-0029];  
and
- translating the linear file address to an appropriate heap block reference to access the memory block [0004, lines 2-8; 0029, lines 5-9; 0038, lines 2-5].

7. **With respect to claim 9**, Peckham discloses a system for managing memory comprising:

- a file broken up into two or more blocks of memory [0004, lines 1-2, each memory block is a 256-MB region; 0047, lines 1-4; AIX operating system used to manage blocks];
- a heap tree configured to manage the two or more blocks of memory as nodes in the heap tree (Figs. 4A-4D) [0004, lines 2-8; 0031-0033; 0041-0042; the 256-MB regions are nodes pointed to by regional control blocks], wherein:
  - each node has a heap block reference ("Pointer to Data Structures", 310 of Figs. 3A and 3B) [0004, lines 2-8; 0029, lines 5-9; 0038, lines 2-5];
  - the heap tree is configured to receive a request to access memory at a linear file address [0028-0029]; and
  - the heap tree is configured to translate the linear file address to an appropriate heap block reference to access the memory block [0004, lines 2-8; 0029, lines 5-9; 0038, lines 2-5].

Art Unit: 2187

8. **With respect to claims 2, 10 and 17**, Peckham discloses the method of claim 1 (see above paragraph 6), the system of claim 9 (see above paragraph 0) and the article of manufacture of claim 16 (see above paragraph 6), wherein the translating utilizes a file address mapping tree comprising a mapping from linear file addresses to heap block references [0004, lines 2-8; 0031-0033; 0041-0042; the 256-MB regions are nodes pointed to by regional control blocks] (Figs. 4A-4D).

9. **With respect to claims 3, 11 and 18**, Peckham discloses the method of claim 2 (see above paragraph 8), the system of claim 10 (see above paragraph 8) and the article of manufacture of claim 17 (see above paragraph 8), further comprising updating the file address mapping tree when a block is inserted into the heap tree (Figs. 4A-4D) [0042-0044].

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

11. **Claims 4-5, 12-13 and 19-20** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peckham (US 2004/0068503) in view of Rawlings, III (US 5,652,865).

Art Unit: 2187

12. **With respect to claims 4, 12 and 19**, Peckham discloses the method of claim 2 (see above paragraph 8), the system of claim 10 (see above paragraph 8) and the article of manufacture of claim 18 (see above paragraph 9).

Peckham discloses the limitation further comprising:

- deleting an associated block from the heap tree [0063]; and
- adjusting the file address mapping tree accordingly [0063].

Peckham does not disclose the limitation further comprising updating the file address mapping tree when address space is deleted by:

- reducing a size of partial blocks as needed.

However, Rawlings, III discloses the limitations further comprising:

- reducing a size of partial blocks as needed (Fig. 17 A-C; column 10, lines 38-42, where the second block of File B is a partial block whose size is reduced after File C is deleted).

Peckham and Rawlings, III are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely file block management.

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine reducing the size of partial blocks of Rawlings, III with the file management system of Peckham. The motivation for doing so would have been because "this process provides for... an automatic optimization of storage space" (column 10, lines 21-23).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Peckham with Rawlings, III for the benefit of a file management

system that reduces the size of partial blocks when other blocks are deleted to obtain the invention as specified in claims 4, 12 and 19.

13. **With respect to claim 5**, Peckham discloses the method of claim 1 (see above paragraph 6), the system of claim 9 (see above paragraph 0) and the article of manufacture of claim 16 (see above paragraph 6). Peckham discloses the limitation wherein the method further comprises:

- inserting the new data as a node in the heap tree (Figs. 4A-4D) [011, lines 5-8; 0040; 0042-0044; 0047, lines 7-11).

Peckham does not disclose the limitations wherein

- the request to access memory comprises a request to insert data into the file at an insertion point; and
- the method further comprises:
  - breaking one of the memory blocks at the insertion point.

However, Rawlings, III discloses the limitations wherein

- the request to access memory comprises a request to insert data into the file at an insertion point (Fig. 5; column 6, lines 55-58); and
- the method further comprises:
  - breaking one of the memory blocks at the insertion point (column 6, lines 53-64).

Peckham and Rawlings, III are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely file block management.

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine splitting a memory block of Rawlings, III with



Art Unit: 2187

the file management system of Peckham. The motivation for doing so would have been because this function is part of a process which "provides for... an automatic optimization of storage space" (column 10, lines 17-23).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Peckham with Rawlings, III for the benefit of a file management system that splits blocks to insert data to obtain the invention as specified in claims 5, 13 and 20.

### ***Conclusion***

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- Bonwick (US 6,725,244) teaches a file management system utilizing a tree structure.

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Golden whose telephone number is 571-272-5628. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald Sparks can be reached on 571-272-4201. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2187

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James R. Golden  
Patent Examiner  
Art Unit 2187

April 15, 2006



Brian R. Peck  
AU 2187  
Primary Examiner